

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Andersson, et al.
Appl. No. : 10/623,119
Filed : July 17, 2003
For : MUSCARINIC AGONISTS
Examiner : Celia C. Chang
Group Art Unit : 1625

CERTIFICATE OF EFS WEB
TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and any other attachment noted on the automated Acknowledgement Receipt, is being transmitted from within the Pacific Time zone to the Commissioner for Patents via the EFS Web server on:

May 18, 2007

(Date)



Ryan E. Melnick, Reg. No. 58,621

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

A telephonic interview was conducted on February 14, 2007 between Examiner Celia Chang and Applicants' attorney Dan Hart. The meaning of the term "heteroalkyl" in Claims 1, 5, 18, and 22 was discussed. In order to advance prosecution, Applicants agreed to allow the Examiner to replace "heteroalkyl" with methoxymethyl, ethoxymethyl, and propoxymethyl. The Examiner indicated that the claims would then be allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 5-18-07By: 

Ryan E. Melnick
Registration No. 58,621
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(619) 235-8550

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Andersson, et al.
Appl. No. : 10/623,119
Filed : July 17, 2003
For : MUSCARINIC AGONISTS
Examiner : Celia C. Chang
Group Art Unit : 1625

CERTIFICATE OF EFS WEB
TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and any other attachment noted on the automated Acknowledgement Receipt, is being transmitted from within the Pacific Time zone to the Commissioner for Patents via the EFS Web server on:

May 18, 2007

(Date)



Ryan E. Melnick, Reg. No. 58,621

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

Applicants disagree that the term "heteroalkyl" as meaning a heteroatom in the backbone lacks antecedent basis. Nonetheless, in order to advance prosecution, the Applicants agreed to authorize the Examiner's amendment. Applicants note that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance, pursuant to MPEP 1302.14, is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and therefore does not specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth. Accordingly, Applicants submit that Claims 1-3, 5-8, 17-20, and 22-25 may be allowable for reasons other than those stated in the Statement for Reasons for Allowance and that Applicants are not bound by the reasons for allowance provided therein.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 5-18-07

By: 
Ryan E. Melnick
Registration No. 58,621
Agent of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(805) 547-5586